



Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at <http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content>.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

acts or words of the parties at the time. As if a question were made upon the plea of nil debet, at law, whether the supposed endorser of a bill of exchange actually did write his name on the back of it, if one witness, present in a coffee-house or exchange [innuendo saloon] should swear that he saw the party write his name upon the bill, such evidence, if the credit of the witness be unimpeached, ought to weigh more than the testimony of a dozen persons, present in the same coffee-house at the same time, who should swear that they did not see him write his name on the bill, though all of them were in such situations, as that, by possibility, they might have seen him do so, or might have remembered that he did so, had their attention been equally drawn that way, as that of the witness affirming the fact. And such testimony ought moreover to countervail that of fifty witnesses declaring that they heard the supposed indorser declare that he never indorsed a bill of exchange in his life, nor ever would as long as he should live."

DIGEST OF OTHER RECENT VIRGINIA DECISIONS.

Supreme Court of Appeals.

Note.—In this department we give the syllabus of every case decided by the Virginia Supreme Court of Appeals except of such cases as are reported in full.

BELMONT IRON WORKS *v.* HOTEL CORPORATION OF NORFOLK.

March 11, 1909.

[63 S. E. 1068.]

Contracts (§ 284*)—Building Contracts—Allowance of Damages—Conditions Precedent—Architect's Certificate.—Where a contract for building materials required certification by the architects of the contractor's refusal, neglect, or failure to furnish materials, providing that any damage from such default should be certified by the architects, whose certificate should be conclusive, such certification constituted a condition precedent to the recovery of damages for the contractor's alleged delay in furnishing materials, whether by an independent suit, or by a cross-bill, in the contractor's suit for the price of materials furnished.

[Ed. Note.—For other cases, see Contracts, Cent. Dig. § 1316; Dec. Dig. § 284.* 3 Va.-W. Va. Enc. Dig. 425, 426; 13 Id. 980. See annotation to case of Johnston Bunn, 14 Va. Law Reg. 607.]
Judgment reversed. Keith, P., absent.

*For other cases see same topic and section NUMBER in Dec. and Am. Digs., 1907 to date, and Reporter Indexes.